

## DECLARATION FOR PATENT APPLICATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that my residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name; I believe that I am the original, first inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled ""Methods and Apparatus for Cache Intervention", which was assigned U.S. Serial No. 10/073,492 and filed on February 11, 2002. I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment(s) referred to above. I acknowledge the duty to disclose to the Patent and Trademark Office all information known to me to be material to patentability as defined in 37 C.F.R. §1.56.

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. §120 of any United States application(s) or PCT international application(s) designating the United States of America listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior application(s) in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. §112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose to the Office all information known to me to be material to patentability as defined in 37 C.F.R. §1.56 which occurred between the filing date of the prior application(s) and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

<u>10/057,493</u>	<u>24/01/2002</u>	<u>Patented</u>
(Application Serial Number)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	(Status-Patented, Pending or Abandoned)

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under 18 U.S.C. §1001 and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

**POWER OF ATTORNEY:** I hereby appoint as my attorneys, with full powers of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith:

James A. Flight (37,622)	Mark C. Zimmerman (44,006)	Mark G. Hanley (44,736)	Joseph T. Jasper (50,833)

of Grossman & Flight, LLC., Suite 4220, 20 North Wacker Drive, Chicago, Illinois 60606, and

Alan K. Aldous (31,905)	Ted A. Crawford (50,610)	Peter Lam (44,855)	Michael Proksch (43,021)	David Tran (50,804)
Rob D. Anderson (33,826)	Robert Diehl (40,992)	Issac Lin (50,672)	Kevin A. Reif (36,381)	John F. Travis (43,203)
Shireen I. Bacon (40,494)	Jeffrey S. Draeger (41,000)	Anthony Martinez (44,223)	Crystal D. Sayles (44,318)	Robert Wawrzyn (54,654)
Michael Barre (44,023)	Cynthia Thomas Faatz (39,973)	Molly-McCall (46,126)	Russell Scott (43,103)	Calvin E. Wells (43,256)
Jay P. Beale (50,901)	Christopher Gagne (36,142)	Larry Mennemeier (51,003)	Kenneth M. Seddon (43,105)	Stuart Whittington (45,215)
R. Edward Brake (37,784)	Sharmini N. Green (41,410)	Paul Nagy (37,896)	Mark Seeley (32,299)	Michael Willardson (50,856)
Ben Burge (42,372)	Robert Greenberg (44,133)	Michael J. Nesheiwat (47,819)	Ami P. Shah (42,143)	Robert Winkle (37,474)
Robert Chang (48,765)	Bradley Greenwald (34,341)	Dennis A. Nicholls (42,036)	David Simon (32,756)	Rita Wisor (41,382)
George Chen (50,807)	Libby Hope (46,774)	Lanny Parker (44,281)	Steven P. Skabrat (36,279)	Sharon Wong (37,760)
Glen B. Choi (43,546)	Jeffrey B. Huter (41,086)	Alan Pedersen-Giles (39,996)	Paul E. Steiner (41,326)	Steven D. Yates (42,242)
Kenneth Cool (40,570)	Seth Z. Kalson (40,670)	Michael D. Plimier (43,004)	Joni D. Stutman-Horn (42,173)	

of Intel Corporation, 2200 Mission College Blvd., Santa Clara, California 95052, telephone (408) 765-8080.

Send correspondence to: James A. Flight


FIRM NAME

PHONE NO.

STREET


CITY &amp; STATE


ZIP CODE

Full Name of First or Sole Inventor <b>Samantha J. EDIRISOORIYA</b>	Citizenship Sri Lanka
Residence Address – Street 640 E. Vinedo Lane	Post Office Address – Street Same
City (Zip) Tempe 85284	City (Zip) Same
State or Country Arizona	State or Country USA
Date 08/26/2004	Signature 

Second Joint Inventor, if any <b>Sujat JAMIL</b>	Citizenship Bangladesh
Residence Address – Street 1828 W. Enfield Way	Post Office Address – Street Same
City (Zip) Chandler 85248	City (Zip) Same
State or Country Arizona	State or Country USA
Date 08/26/2004	Signature Sujat JAMIL

Third Joint Inventor, if any <b>David E. MINER</b>	Citizenship USA
Residence Address – Street <sup>DM</sup> <del>1933 West Spruce Drive</del> 1561 S. KAREN DR.	Post Office Address – Street Same
City (Zip) Chandler 85248	City (Zip) Same
State or Country Arizona	State or Country USA
Date 26 AUG 2004	Signature David E Miner

Joint Inventor, if any <b>R. Frank O'BLENESS</b>	Citizenship USA
Residence Address – Street 416 E. Stacey Lane	Post Office Address – Street Same
City (Zip) Tempe 85284	City (Zip) Same
State or Country Arizona	State or Country USA
Date 26 AUG 2004	Signature 

Joint Inventor, if any <b>Steven J. TU</b>	Citizenship USA
Residence Address - Street 16815 S. 15 <sup>th</sup> Avenue	Post Office Address - Street Same
City (Zip) Phoenix 85045	City (Zip) Same
State or Country Arizona	State or Country USA
Date 9/9/2004	Signature 

Joint Inventor, if any <b>Mark N. FULLERTON</b>	Citizenship UK
Residence Address - Street 6636 W. William Cannon, Apt. 218	Post Office Address - Street Same
City (Zip) Austin 78735	City (Zip) Same
State or Country Texas	State or Country USA
Date	Signature

Joint Inventor, if any <b>Hang T. NGUYEN</b>	Citizenship USA
Residence Address - Street 8613 S. Dorsey Lane	Post Office Address - Street Same
City (Zip) Tempe 85284	City (Zip) Same
State or Country Arizona	State or Country USA
Date	Signature

#### APPLICABLE RULES AND STATUTES

##### 37 CFR 1.56. DUTY OF DISCLOSURE - INFORMATION MATERIAL TO PATENTABILITY (Applicable Portion)

(a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:

- (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentability defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.

Information relating to the following factual situations enumerated in 35 USC 102 and 103 may be considered material under 37 CFR 1.56(a).

Joint Inventor, if any <b>Steven J. TU</b>	Citizenship USA
Residence Address - Street 16815 S. 15 <sup>th</sup> Avenue	Post Office Address - Street Same
City (Zip) Phoenix 85045	City (Zip) Same
State or Country Arizona	State or Country USA
Date	Signature

Joint Inventor, if any <b>Mark N. FULLERTON</b>	Citizenship UK
Residence Address - Street 6636 W. William Cannon, Apt. 218	Post Office Address - Street Same
City (Zip) Austin 78735	City (Zip) Same
State or Country Texas	State or Country USA
Date 15 <sup>th</sup> SEPT 2004	Signature <i>Mark N. Fullerton</i>

Joint Inventor, if any <b>Hang T. NGUYEN</b>	Citizenship USA
Residence Address - Street 8613 S. Dorsey Lane	Post Office Address - Street Same
City (Zip) Tempe 85284	City (Zip) Same
State or Country Arizona	State or Country USA
Date	Signature

#### APPLICABLE RULES AND STATUTES

##### 37 CFR 1.56. DUTY OF DISCLOSURE - INFORMATION MATERIAL TO PATENTABILITY (Applicable Portion)

(a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:

- (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentability defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.

Information relating to the following factual situations enumerated in 35 USC 102 and 103 may be considered material under 37 CFR 1.56(a).

Joint Inventor, if any <b>Steven J. TU</b>	Citizenship USA
Residence Address - Street 16815 S. 15 <sup>th</sup> Avenue	Post Office Address - Street Same
City (Zip) Phoenix 85045	City (Zip) Same
State or Country Arizona	State or Country USA
Date	Signature

Joint Inventor, if any <b>Mark N. FULLERTON</b>	Citizenship UK
Residence Address - Street 6636 W. William Cannon, Apt. 218	Post Office Address - Street Same
City (Zip) Austin 78735	City (Zip) Same
State or Country Texas	State or Country USA
Date	Signature

Joint Inventor, if any <b>Hang T. NGUYEN</b>	Citizenship USA
Residence Address - Street 8613 S. Dorsey Lane	Post Office Address - Street Same
City (Zip) Tempe 85284	City (Zip) Same
State or Country Arizona	State or Country USA
Date <i>September 16, 2004</i>	Signature <i>Hang T. Nguyen</i>

#### APPLICABLE RULES AND STATUTES

##### 37 CFR 1.56. DUTY OF DISCLOSURE - INFORMATION MATERIAL TO PATENTABILITY (Applicable Portion)

(a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:

- (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentability defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.

Information relating to the following factual situations enumerated in 35 USC 102 and 103 may be considered material under 37 CFR 1.56(a).

##### 35 U.S.C. 102. CONDITIONS FOR PATENTABILITY: NOVELTY AND LOSS OF RIGHT TO PATENT

### 35 U.S.C. 102. CONDITIONS FOR PATENTABILITY: NOVELTY AND LOSS OF RIGHT TO PATENT

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of the application for patent in the United States, or
- (c) he has abandoned the invention, or
- (d) the invention was first patented or caused to be patented, or was the subject of an inventor's certificate, by the applicant or his legal representatives or assigns in a foreign country prior to the date of the application for patent in this country on an application for patent or inventor's certificate filed more than twelve months before the filing of the application in the United States, or
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraph (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or
- (f) he did not himself invent the subject matter sought to be patented, or
- (g) before the applicant's invention thereof the invention was made in this country by another who had not abandoned, suppressed, or concealed it. In determining priority of invention there shall be considered not only the respective dates of conception and reduction to practice of the invention, but also the reasonable diligence of one who was first to conceive and last to reduce to practice, from a time prior to conception by the other.

### 35 U.S.C. 103. CONDITIONS FOR PATENTABILITY; NON-OBVIOUS SUBJECT MATTER (Applicable Portion)

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

### 35 U.S.C. 112. SPECIFICATION (Applicable Portion)

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same, and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Docket No. INTEL/13440

August 23, 2004

JAF

**The Patent Office is hereby requested to acknowledge receipt of the following papers by stamping and returning this postcard**

U.S. Serial No. 10/073,492

Inventors: Edirisooriya et al.

For: "Methods and Apparatus for Cache Intervention"

Amendment Transmittal and copy (6 sheets)

Response to the Office action dated May 21, 2004 (22 sheets)


Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement and copy (6 sheets)

From PTO-1449 (2 sheets)

1 cited reference

Check #4524 in the amount of \$524.00

w/Certificate of mailing by first class mail dated August 23, 2004.



Docket No. INTEL/13440 September 16, 2004

JAF

**The Patent Office is hereby requested to acknowledge receipt of the following papers by stamping and returning this postcard.**


U.S. Serial No. 10/073,492

Inventors: Eridisooriya et al.

For: "Methods and Apparatus for Cache Intervention"

Executed Declaration (6 sheets)

Via Hand Delivery

  
9-17-04



**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning  
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

**BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☐ **BLACK BORDERS**
- ☐ **IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- ☐ **FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- ☐ **COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- ☐ **GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**
- ☐ **LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**
- ☐ **REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**
- ☐ **OTHER:** \_\_\_\_\_

**IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.**

**As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.**